



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,726	09/08/2003	Ken Utagawa	117044	4793
25944 7590 03/27/2009 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850				
EXAMINER				
NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2622				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/27/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/656,726

Applicant(s)

UTAGAWA, KEN

Examiner

LUONG T. NGUYEN

Art Unit

2622

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 March 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 20-29 and 31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 31 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 20-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. The allowance of claims 20-28 has been withdrawn due to the newly founded references. Therefore, the Final Office Action made on 12/10/2008 has been withdrawn. A new Non-final Office Action sets forth the below.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of Species VII, Figure 29, in the reply filed on 02/07/2007 is acknowledged.
3. Claim 29 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 02/07/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Glenn (US 7,230,646).

Regarding claim 20, Glenn discloses an imaging device comprising:

a plurality of photosensors two-dimensionally arranged on a light-receiving surface (image sensor 60, figures 1-3, column 2, lines 14-67), for generating photo signals in accordance with an amount of received light;

a readout section reading out the generated photo signals (a read out reading section is included in image sensor 60 for reading out the image signals),

wherein said readout section selectively has a grid imaging mode (figures 2-3 show image sensor 60 in grid mode, column 2, lines 30-67) in which the generated photo signals on the light-receiving surface are sampled in a grid pattern for readout, and a diagonal grid imaging mode (figures 4-5 show image sensor 60 in diagonal grid mode, column 3, lines 7-30) in which the generated photo signals on the light-receiving surface are sampled in a diagonal grid pattern for readout.

6. Claims 20, 21, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Esser et al. (US 4,799,109).

a plurality of photosensors two-dimensionally arranged on a light-receiving surface (image sensor 1, figures 1-2, column 3, lines 20-67), for generating photo signals in accordance with an amount of received light;

a readout section (reading means 9, figure 2, column 4, lines 1-4) reading out the generated photo signals,

wherein said readout section selectively has a grid imaging mode (figure 9 shows image sensor 1 in grid mode, column 7, lines 1-50) in which the generated photo signals on the light-

receiving surface are sampled in a grid pattern for readout, and a diagonal grid imaging mode (figure 10 shows image sensor 1 in diagonal grid mode, column 7, lines 50-67) in which the generated photo signals on the light- receiving surface are sampled in a diagonal grid pattern for readout.

Regarding claims 21, 25, Esser et al. discloses wherein:

said plurality of photosensors are arranged in a grid pattern on the light- receiving surface (figure 9); and

in the diagonal grid imaging mode said readout section adds up the photo signals for readout in each area around a crosspoint of the diagonal grid pattern (figure 10, the pixels in lines 3 and 4 are read together, column 7, lines 50-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 22, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Esser et al. (US 4,799,109 in view of Gallagher et al. (US 6,765,611).

Regarding claims 22 and 26, Esser et al. fails to specifically disclose an optical low pass filter disposed on the light-receiving surface, for blurring an optical image in a direction substantially perpendicular to an adding-up direction of the photo signals. However, Gallagher

et al. teaches an optical low pass filter 6, which is placed between lens and image sensing device 10, performs a slight blurring of the imaged light (figure 1, column 4, lines 19-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Esser et al. by the teaching of Gallagher et al. in order to reduce the occurrence of alising (column 4, lines 20-25).

9. Claims 23, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Esser et al. (US 4,799,109 in view of Morris et al. (US 6,665,010).

Regarding claims 23, 27, Esser et al. fails to specifically disclose a color filter array disposed on the light-receiving surface such that the photosensors in each unit of the adding-up substantially have a same color. However, Morris et al. teaches an imager 140, which is spatially divided into multiple (four, for example) groups 113 (groups 113a, 113b, 113c, 113d), one group 113 may be associated with red color and one group 113 may be associated with a green pixel color (figure 5, column 3, lines 5-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Esser et al. by the teaching of Morris et al. in order to independently control integration times for different groups of pixels sensing unit that optimizes the dynamic range of the captured image (column 3, lines 25-30).

10. Claims 24, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Esser et al. (US 4,799,109 in view of Tanaka (US 6,982,751).

Regarding claims 24, 28, Esser et al. fails to specifically disclose a color filter array disposed on the light-receiving surface such that the photosensors in each unit of the adding-up have different colors from each other. However, Tanaka discloses a solid-state imaging apparatus and its driving method in which the signal charges read for every 2 pixels are added in the vertical transfer block 53 have different colors from each other (figure 14, column 16, lines 14-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Esser et al. by the teaching of Tanaka in order to provide a CCD imaging device that can be operated in the two modes of the frame read mode and the special read mode (column 16, lines 33-45).

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim 31 is allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 31, see Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter as indicated in Paper mailed on 05/03/2007.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUONG T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7315. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DAVID L. OMETZ can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David L. Ometz/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit
2622

/L. T. N./
03/24/09